



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/740,979		12/21/2000	Kazuhiko Sugiyama	072982/0212	5495
22428	7590	04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY A	ND LAR	RDNER	MOORE JR, MICHAEL J		
	SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW				PAPER NUMBER
WASHING			2666		
				DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004 5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
`	09/74	0,979	SUGIYAMA, KAZUHIKO				
Office Action Summa	ry Exam	iner	Art Unit				
	Micha	el J. Moore, Jr.	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this col		<u> </u>	correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th - If the period for reply specified above is less than - If NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within the imum statutory period will apply a for reply will, by statute, cause the nonths after the mailing date of th	to event, however, may a reply be a statutory minimum of thirty (30) dind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a poplication to become ABANDO!	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>21 Decembe</u>	<u>er 2000</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL .	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in con-	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
<u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or election	on requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office	e action for a list of the c	ertified copies not receiv	vea.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	Date I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> .		6) Other:	, ,				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Sun	nmary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 5				

Application/Control Number: 09/740,979 Page 2

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 11/18/2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner has considered the information disclosure statement.

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On line 1, the word "utilized" should be "utilizes". There is some confusion regarding the sentence on lines 1-7. It is suggested that this sentence be separated as follows: "ATM edge node switching equipment utilizes an IP-VPN function, which can achieve a low cost VPN compared with an L2-VPN in which a user terminal is connected to the ATM edge node switching equipment by a mesh connection. This connection is provided by connecting the user terminal and the ATM edge node switching equipment with one leased line." Also, on line 26, the word "utilized" should be "utilizing". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims **1, 2, 4, and 5** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimura et al. (U.S. 6,381,244) in view of Luciani et al. (U.S. 6,614,791).

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claim 1, Nishimura et al. teaches an ATM Exchange EX1 (ATM edge node switching equipment) in Figure 1 that is connected to plural user terminals in an ATM network. Nishimura et al. also teaches an ATM Exchange EX1 (IP data packet distribution function) in Figure 5 that distributes IP data packets to plural user terminals using a VCC table 22c, which contains a destination IP address field. Nishimura et al. also teaches a datagram cell reader 22a in Figure 5 (inputted IP data packet analyzing section) that obtains a packet that contains a cell with an input VCC no. (input virtual channel number), a quality of service (QoS) class field (quality of service type set), a protocol identifier (protocol type), a source terminal address field (source address service port number), a destination address field (destination service port number), and a cell identifier field (code point) that is contained within the header portion of the received packet from a user terminal. See cell element C₀ in Figure 2, which contains fields $F_1 - F_4$. Nishimura et al. also teaches routing controller 22d (routing information retrieving section) in Figure 5 that checks the QoS, and the destination IP address and selects an appropriate output VCC as described in column 14, lines 36-67.

Nishimura et al. fails to teach a virtual private network identifier (VPN-ID) for distinguishing user terminals. Nishimura et al. also fails to teach routing to an appropriate output VC based on the VPN-ID. However, Luciani et al. teaches a system, device, and method for supporting multiple virtual private networks in a communication network by encoding a virtual private network identifier in certain control messages in order to associate those control messages with a particular VPN (a particular user). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2666

art given these references to combine the teachings of Nishimura et al. with the virtual private network identifier of the Luciani et al. reference. A motivation for doing so would be in order to distinguish the specific user terminal(s) that packets are associated with for routing purposes as described in column 2, lines 33-52 of the Luciani et al. reference.

Regarding claim 2, Nishimura teaches a leased line between each of the plural user terminals and an ATM Exchange (ATM edge node switching equipment) in Figure 18. Nishimura et al. does not explicitly teach that the leased line is a virtual private network of a layer 2 in an OSI model. However, Luciani et al. teaches a multi-protocol system 100 in Figure 1 that contains an ATM Ingress edge device 120 coupled to source end device 110 that contains an Ingress MPOA client (MPC) element 124 that is able to maintain an Ingress MPC for each supported virtual private network (VPN) as described in column 6, 28-35. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art given these references to make the leased line of Nishimura et al. capable of supporting multiple virtual private networks in order to allow for a communication network to be shared by multiple consumers as described in column 6, lines 1-7 of the Luciani et al. reference.

Regarding claim 4, Nishimura et al. teaches an ATM Exchange EX1 (ATM edge node switching equipment) in Figure 1 that is connected to a plurality of user terminals through leased lines. Nishimura et al. also teaches VCC1 and VCC2 (input VCs) to which IP data packets are inputted from user terminals A and B. Nishimura et al. also teaches a datagram cell reader 22a (inputted IP data packet analyzing section) in

Art Unit: 2666

Figure 5 that analyzes leading cell C_0 (contained in header of packet in Figure 2). Nishimura et al. also teaches a VCC table 22c (user information memory) in Figure 5 that stores VCC numbers (input VC numbers), QoS class (QoS type), a protocol identifier (see field F_4 of Figure 2), a destination address field (destination service port number), a source address field (source address service port number) and a cell identifier (code point, See field F_1 of Figure 2). Nishimura et al. also teaches a routing table 22b, a VCC table 22c, and a switching table 21b (combined routing information memory) in Figure 5 that store a destination IP address, plural output VCCs, the state of VCCs, and QoS class. Nishimura also teaches that a data packet is transferred to a destination address in an ATM network by adding leading cell C_0 to a header of a packet (See Figure 2).

Nishimura et al. fails to teach storing a virtual private network identifier and using this identifier for routing purposes. However, Luciani et al. teaches a system, device, and method for supporting multiple virtual private networks in a communication network by encoding a virtual private network identifier in certain control messages in order to associate those control messages with a particular VPN (a particular user). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art given these references to combine the teachings of Nishimura et al. with the virtual private network identifier of the Luciani et al. reference. A motivation for doing so would be in order to distinguish the specific user terminal(s) that packets are associated with for routing purposes as described in column 2, lines 33-52 of the Luciani et al. reference.

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claim 5, Nishimura et al. further teaches a VCC establishing agent 23, bandwidth table 22, and VCC table 22c (combined VC control unit) in Figure 19 that monitors requested bandwidth in VCC table 22c versus remaining line bandwidth managed in bandwidth table 22e and identifies when bandwidth requested cannot be provided (trouble) for routing purposes. Nishimura et al. also teaches network management center (network control unit) in Figure 18 that manages ATM Exchanges that are connected to it. Nishimura et al. also teaches VCC establishing agent 23 (command analyzing section) that analyzes VCC connection information from the network management center.

5. Claims **3 and 6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishimura et al. (U.S. 6,381,244) in view of Luciani et al. (U.S. 6,614,791) and in further view of Yin et al. (US 2001/0055313).

Regarding claim 3, Nishimura et al. teaches a QoS class field in Figure 5 that contains multiple classes that correspond to categories such as cell loss rate (tagging trouble), delay time (transmission delayed time), delay fluctuation, etc. as described in column 13, lines 1-11. Nishimura et al. fails to teach discarding an illegal cell. However, Yin et al. teaches an ATM segmentation/transmitter 320 in Figure 4 that discards packets based upon VC buffer usage as a method of congestion control (QoS). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art given these references to use the packet discard of Yin et al. as a QoS measure with the teachings of Nishimura et al. in order to regulate buffer usage and reduce congestion as described in page 3, paragraphs 26 and 27 of the Yin et al. reference.

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claim **6**, Nishimura et al. teaches a QoS class field (QoS type) in Figure 5. Nishimura et al. also teaches plural output VCCs stored in switching table 21b of Figure 5 that are selected based upon updated information in VCC table 22c and routing table 22b. A particular output VCC is selected and the corresponding destination address in VCC table 22c is used. Nishimura et al. fails to teach a virtual private network identifier provided from an analyzed result. Luciani et al. teaches a system, device, and method for supporting multiple virtual private networks in a communication network by encoding a virtual private network identifier in certain control messages in order to associate those control messages with a particular VPN (a particular user).

Nishimura et al. in view of Luciani et al. fails to teach discarding of data packets by a routing information retrieving section when trouble occurs in a VC. However, Yin et al. teaches an ATM segmentation/transmitter 320 in Figure 4 that discards packets based upon VC buffer usage as a method of congestion control (QoS). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art given these references to combine the teachings of Nishimura et al. in view of Luciani et al. with the packet discard of Yin et al. as a QoS measure in order to regulate buffer usage and reduce congestion as described in page 3, paragraphs 26 and 27 of the Yin et al. reference.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fowler et al. (US 2002/0097675), Nair et al. (U.S. 6,337,863),

and Pillar et al. (US 2003/0202481) are all references that contain material pertinent to

Page 8

this application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael J. Moore, Jr. whose telephone number is (703)

305-8703. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30am -

5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Seema S. Rao can be reached at (703) 308-5463. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael J. Moore, Jr.

Examiner

Art Unit 2666

mim MM

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800